UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

District of Nebraska

	United States of American	ca	`		
	v. Alonzo E. Wynne)	0000000	
	Alonzo E. Wynne)	08CR318	
D		04/08/2009) USM No: $\frac{2}{3}$	2557-047	
Date of Original	I Judgment: s Amended Judgment:	04/08/2009) David R. Stic	kman	
	mended Judgment if Any)		Defendant's Att		
			N EOD GEN		
(TENCE REDUCTION	
	PUI	RSUANT TO 1	8 U.S.C. § 35	082(C)(2)	
§ 3582(c)(2) for subsequently be § 994(u), and ha	a reduction in the term en lowered and made re	of imprisonment in etroactive by the Ur notion, and taking in	nposed based on a lited States Senter nto account the po	f Prisons the court under 18 U.S a guideline sentencing range that had being Commission pursuant to 28 U blicy statement set forth at USSG §1 they are applicable,	s .S.C.
IT IS ORDERI	ED that the motion is:				
✓DEN the last judgment is.	IIED. GRANTED		s previously impo onths is reduced	sed sentence of imprisonment (as re	flected in
me tast juagment ts		mplete Parts I and II of			·
	(60	mpiete I arts I and II of	r uge 2 when motion	is granea)	
E (4			. 1 . 1 . 04/	08/2009 shall remain in effect	
•	wise provided, all provi	sions of the judgme	nt dated	shall remain in effect.	
IT IS SO ORD	ERED.		~		
Order Date:	02/09/2016		1 Au	in Sitt Cano	
		<u> </u>		Judge's signature	
Effective Date:			Laurie Smi	th Camp, Chief U.S. District Judge	
Enecuve Date:	(if different from order date	<u></u>	Ladiio Oilii	Printed name and title	

This page contains information that should not be filed in court unless under seal. (Not for Public Disclosure)

Alonzo E. V	Vynne			
DEFENDANT:		_		
CASE NUMBER: 8:08CR318				
DISTRICT: District of Nebraska		_		
I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDEL	LINE RANG			
Previous Total Offense Level:		Amended Total Offense Level:		
Criminal History Category:		Criminal History Category:		
Previous Guideline Range: to	months	Amended Guideline Range:	to _	months
II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO THE AME				
The reduced sentence is within the amended	_	•		
The previous term of imprisonment impose		0 11		
time of sentencing as a result of a substantia	al assistance	departure or Rule 35 reduction, a	and the reduce	ed sentence
is comparably less than the amended guidel	line range.			
The reduced sentence is above the amended	d guideline r	ange.		

III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

The Defendant's pro se Motion to Reduce Sentence - USSC Amendment (Filing No. 233) is denied. Defense counsel's Motion to Withdraw (Filing No. 239) is granted, although the Court disagrees with counsel's statement that "the Defendant received the two-level reduction benefit pursuant to Amendment 782 at sentencing." The record shows that the Defendant's original sentence on Count I was a variance from the guideline range and not related to substantial assistance, which term was well below the applicable guideline range before the effective date of Amendment 782.